NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States [Title 17, United States Code] governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the reproduction is not to be used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research. If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that use may be liable for copyright infringement.

This institution reserves the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgement, fullfillment of the order would involve violation of copyright law. No further reproduction and distribution of this copy is permitted by transmission or any other means.

RICHARD KEARNEY

0

Stories



London and New York

1

First published 2002

by Routledge 11 New Fetter Lane, London EC4P 4EE

Simultaneously published in the USA and Canada by Routledge 29 West 35th Street, New York, NY 10001

Routledge is an imprint of the Taylor & Francis Group

© 2002 Richard Kearney

Typeset in Joanna by RefineCatch Limited, Bungay, Suffolk Printed and bound in Great Britain by TJ International Ltd. Padstow, Cornwall

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reprinted or reproduced or utilised in any form or by any electronic, mechanical, or other means, now known or hereafter invented, including photocopying and recording, or in any information storage or retrieval system, without permission in writing from the publishers.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data
Kearney, Richard
On stories / Richard Kearney
p. cm. - (Thinking in action)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
1. Prose literature - History and criticism. 2. Narration [Rhetoric] I. Title.
II. Series.
PN3353.K43 2001
809 - dc21 2001031753

ISBN 0-415-24797-7 (hbk) ISBN 0-415-24798-5 (pbk)

Part Four

Narrative Matters

Narrative Matters

Eleven

heard, they like to be taken in; and if taken in, they like to be told. There are three points about stories: if told, they like to be heard; if

Claran Carson, Fishing For Amber: A Long Story

nouncements about the 'end of ideology' (Bell) and the 'end of the 'end of history' (Fukuyama), coinciding with proand belief. And it is in this climate that we find frequent talk gress — are for many no longer engaging Western imagination of the story' (Baudrillard; or from a positivist perspective redemption, Revolutionary Liberation or Enlightenment Pronium that we have reached the end of the story. I am not just lessness. The old Master Narratives – of Judaeo–Christian anarchy, but to a general sentiment of slackening and sensereferring to the usual millennial fantasies of apocalypse and There has been much talk as we pass into the third millen-

narrative, has been fundamentally challenged by current expanding information age, he did not, I believe, mean the continuous experience, associated with traditional linear come to an end. We can hardly deny that the notion of transmitted from one generation to the next. This indeed has posed age-old traditions of inherited experience, seamlessly nent demise of certain forms of remembrance which presupend of storytelling per se. He was merely signalling the immiabout a radical threat to the power of narrativity in our By contrast, when someone like Walter Benjamin talked

ignore the evidence of a society where hyper-advanced tele-communications and digital data flows have begun replacing the old mnemonic, epistolary and print modes of expression. Our inherited notions of rooted space and time are being profoundly altered by the emerging megapolis of expanding velocity and immediacy – giving rise to what some regard as an increasingly deterritorialised world.²

or recounted in several simultaneous storylines, like Mike account, the end of the story. Storytelling will never end, for narrative family, as prodigal sons are linked to forebears innovative experiments are still linked to the multiple narratives to overlap and criss-cross. Figgis's digitally shot film Timecode, where four separate Some are even told backwards, like Martin Amis's Time's Arrow point of being called micro-narratives or post-narratives stories are often, as we know, truncated or parodied to the multi-plotted, multi-vocal and multi-media. And these new somebody else who will respond 'Once upon a time . . . ' there will always be someone to say 'Tell me a story', and tion the verdict of some that we have reached, on that however tenuous, open feature-length takes occupy the screen throughout, allowing To be sure, the old stories are giving way to new ones, more (mythos-mimesis) who keep some lines of communication None of this can be denied. But we can, I believe, quesextended But such

So when a group of nouveaux-romanciers began to declare in the 1960s and 1970s that 'the story as such must be obliterated', I think that they had a very specific notion of the old classic realist novel in mind. One only has to read their moratorium on narrative to see what a restricted vision of storytelling they were targeting:

all the technical elements of the narrative ... the unconditional adoption of chronological development, linear plots, a regular graph of the emotions, the way each episode tended towards an end, etc.... everything aimed at imposing the image of a stable universe, coherent, continuous, univocal and wholly decipherable.³

a continuing sign of the need for traditional narrative closure royalties and safeguarded the copyright of this same qua narrator, to this story. (He also presumably collected his the end of narrative and signing his own authorial name performative contradiction — by inventing a narrative about Barthes himself who belies his own statement - in a typica Barthes announces that 'in narrative no-one speaks', it is to be his novels that belong to the past. Just as when Rolanc characters belong well and truly to the past', it is more likely someone like Robbe-Grillet claims that 'novels that contain (what Kermode calls the 'sense of an ending'). So when literary obituarists to declare the end of the story is, ironically name'. Indeed, one could even claim that the urge of certain they disappear. They just change their 'habitation and their that story-forms mutate from age to age does not mean tha daring new experiments in fictional narration. The simple fact Dubliner James Joyce had told us as much decades earlier Granted. But we didn't need Parisian literati to tell us this. The when he revolutionised the whole storytelling process with no-one .)

I do not wish to be facetious, merely to issue a wager that storytelling will survive the suspicions cast upon it by apocalyptic anti-humanists, no less than by positivists like Carl Hempel or structuralists of the annales school who believe that the historical sciences should divest themselves of all narrative

stubborn resistance to narrativity in the name of reductive dreamed of. methods of awareness that historical truth is as much the property of models of scientism will, I am convinced, soon yield to the functions in deference to objective norms and codes. The ledge'. There is more to the science of history than the 'narrative knowledge' as it is of so-called 'objective knowempirico-metrics and structural logics ever

by a masterful story weaver'.4 story trance and allowing themselves to be led through a tale more popular authors like Christopher Vogler, author of The Ricoeur, Taylor, Rorty, MacIntyre or Nussbaum. Or indeed by affirmative view of narrativity advanced by modes of storytelling, people will always 'enjoy going into a fact is that no matter how much technologies transform ou for new possibilities of interactive, non-linear narration. The should be seen not as a threat to storytelling but as a catalyst Writer's Journey, who argues that the advent of cyber-culture In terms of recent controversies, I personally endorse the theorists like

a model for narrative, namely, Aristotelian poetics. The five with their most cutting-edge counterparts today. to bring the most ancient of theories into critical dialogue release (catharsis), wisdom (phronesis), and ethics (ethos). I shall propose to do so under five summary headings, each deriving the gauntlet and champion the irrepressible art of the story. temporary hermeneutic readings. So doing, I shall endeavour these enduring functions of storytelling in the light of con take each in turn with a view to retrieving and rethinking headings are as follows: plot (mythos), re-creation (mimesis) from the earliest attempt by Western philosophy to formulate In this concluding part, then, I would like to throw down

ONE: PLOT (MYTHOS)

sciously seek out a narrative in which to reinscribe our life as is already to some extent pre-plotted before we ever conconsciously - in terms of beginnings, middles and ends of referrals back to our past (memory) and forward to our not simply because it strives to discover a pattern to cope with constantly interpreting themselves – pre-reflectively and preture to our lives which seek some kind of significance in terms constitutes us as beings who, to put it baldly, are born at the human life is always already an implicit story. Our very finitude the experience of chaos and confusion. It is also because each Every human existence is a life in search of a narrative. This is (though not necessarily in that order). In short, our existence future (projection). So that we might say that our lives are beginning and die at the end. And this gives a temporal struc-

epic or comedy (the three recognised by Aristotle) explicit when transposed into the poetic genres of tragedy at work in our everyday existence, but it only becomes way of making our lives into life-stories. This is always already convey the common function of narrative as poissis: that is, a tasy; and (3) a crafted structure. All three meanings of mythos action by transposing it into (1) a telling; (2) a fable or fanas defined in the Poetics, gives a specific grammar to this life of ducted in view of some end - even if that end is itself. In other existence is a life of 'action' and that action is always conin terms of an inter-active life with others. The work of mythos words, as human agents we are always prefiguring our world pre-narrative pattern to the extent that he realised that humar Aristotle was one of the first philosophers to identify this

play of dispersal and integration within the soul itself. The Augustine internalised this narrative structure as an inter-

former he called distentio unimi, attributing it to our fallen nature evinced in the scattering of the self over past, present and future. The latter integrating function he ascribed to the contervailing movement of the psyche towards-identity over time (intentio unimi). The resulting drama between these two tendencies results in a tension between discordance and concordance that makes each life a temporal plot in search of an ultimate author – for Augustine, God.

Picking up on this proto-existential description of human emplotment and temporality, twentieth-century phenomenologists found different ways of reformulating this narrative drama: Husserl called it the internal time-consciousness of retention and protention; Heidegger the temporal circle of retrieval (Wiederholung) and project (Entwurf) in the light of our 'being towards an end' – namely, our 'being-towards-death'; Gadamer called it the 'anticipation of completion' that organises my existence as a whole; and Ricoeur, the prefigurative 'synthesis of the heterogeneous'. Our contemporary phenomenology recognises that narrativity is what marks, organises and clarifies temporal experience; and that every historical process is recognised as such to the degree that it can be recounted. A story is made out of events, and the plot (mythos) is what mediates between events and the story.⁵

But the most important point to bear in mind is that from the Greek discovery of human life (bios) as meaningfully interpreted action (praxis) to the most recent descriptions of existence as narrative temporality, there is an abiding recognition that existence is inherently storied. Life is pregnant with stories. It is a nascent plot in search of a midwife. For inside every human being there are lots of little narratives trying to get out. 'Human life has a determinate form', as Alasdair MacIntyre explains, 'the form of a certain kind of story. It is

not just that poems and sagas narrate what happens to men and women, but that in their narrative form poems and sagas capture a form that was already present in the lives which they relate."

That is why every person's action can be read as part of an unfolding life-story, and why each life-story cries out to be 'imitated', that is, transformed into the story of a life.

TWO: RE-CREATION (MIMESIS)

Mimesis may be seen accordingly as an imaginative redescription which captures what Aristotle called the 'essence' (eidos) of our lives. Mimesis is not about idealist escapism or servile realism. It is a pathway to the disclosure of the inherent 'universals' of existence that make up human truth (Poetics 1451). Far from being a passive copy of reality, mimesis re-enacts the real world of action by magnifying its essential traits (1448a). It remakes the world, so to speak, in the light of its potential truths.

The most important thing in our descriptions of the temporality of mythos is a latent interweaving of past, present and future (though not necessarily in that order). What distinguishes human action from mere physical movement, we discovered, is that it is always a dynamic synthesis of residual sedimentation and future-oriented goals. Every action is directed towards some result that informs and motivates the agent's aim in acting. This is what Dilthey and the hermeneutic thinkers meant when they said that 'life interprets itself' ('das Leben legt sich selber aus'). And it is because of this directedness, conscious or unconscious, that our lives may be described as a flux of events which combine to form an action which is both cumulative and oriented – two crucial features of any narrative.' But while existence may thus be considered as

pre-narrative, it is not fully narrative until it is re-created in terms of a formal verbal recounting. Until, that is, the tacit pre-plotting of our temporalising-synthesising existence is structurally emplotted. Until implicit mythos becomes explicit poissis. This double move of narrative proper involves a second patterning of our already patterned (symbolically mediated) experience.

This is probably what Aristotle meant when he said that poetic narration is the 'imitation of an action' (mimesis praxeos). And I think that we could also give a liberal reading here of his claim that poetic insight comes at that point in a narrative when the protagonist 'recognises again' (anagnorisis) the inherent direction of his or her existence — call it fate, fortune, destiny, or the 'divinity that shapes our ends' (Hamlet). Mimesis is 'invention' in the original sense of that term: invenire means both to discover and to create, that is, to disclose what is already there in the light of what is not yet (but is potentially). It is the power, in short, to re-create actual worlds as possible worlds.

This power of mimetic re-creation sustains a connection between fiction and life while also acknowledging their difference. Life can be properly understood only by being retold mimetically through stories. But the act of mimesis which enables us to pass from life to life-story introduces a 'gap' (however minimal) between living and recounting. Life is lived, as Ricoeur reminds us, while stories are told. And there is a sense in which the untold life is perhaps less rich than a told one. Why? Because the recounted life prises open perspectives inaccessible to ordinary perception. It marks a poetic extrapolation of possible worlds which supplement and refashion our referential relations to the life-world existing prior to the act of recounting. Our exposure to new

possibilities of being refigures our everyday being-in-the world. So that when we return from the story-world to the real world, our sensibility is enriched and amplified in important respects. In that sense we may say that mimesis involves both a free-play of fiction and a responsibility to real life. It does not force us to make a Yeatsian choice between 'perfection of the life or of the work'.

a story. Which is why the latent prefiguring of everyday exist by narrative texts ence calls out for a more formal configuring (mythos-mimesis does not arrive there until someone hears and tells this life as life-world.9 In short, life is always on the way to narrative, but it experience through narrative recounting back to a refigured from action to text and back again – passing from prefigurec Which is not to deny that life is linguistically mediated; only structuralist maxim that the text relates to nothing but itself insist that the act of mimesis involves a circular movement is not confined to a self-regarding play of signifiers (wha to say that such mediation always points beyond itself and of the author and forward to the life of the reader belies the text to action. This referral of the narrative text back to the life to be told; (2) the configuring of the text in the act of telling; and of triple mimesis: (1) the prefiguring of our life-world as it seeks Jameson calls the 'prisonhouse of language'). This is why we (3) the refiguring of our existence as we return from narrative This brings me, ultimately, to what Ricoeur calls the circle

In the light of the above reflections, I prefer to translate mimesis with Ricoeur and MacIntyre as a kind of creative retelling, thereby avoiding the connotations of servile representation mistakenly associated with the traditional term 'imitation'. The key to mimesis resides in a certain 'gap' demarcating the narrated world from the lived one, opened

up by the fact that every narrative is told from a certain point of view and in a certain style and genre. This is especially evident in the case of fiction, where storytelling takes the form of epic, drama, romance, novel or, more recently, such electronic or digital forms as film, video and interactive hypertexts.¹⁰

but I think that most people recognise when they are passing media violence and mounting street violence, for example course, those who argue for a direct 'causal' rapport between need for formulas like 'once upon a time' to signal the transi from the real to the imaginary or back again - without the lated life-likeness is relatively unmistakable. There are, or theatre, opening the pages of a novel in a room, or listengenerally understood by people sitting in a darkening cinema tion. These things are implied. The rules of poetic licence are ing to someone in a cafe or pub begin a story with the words story ...', that there is a difference ence real violence in the real world. People just know, and have people's intelligence, but grossly to insult those who experi-Joyce's Ulysses said, is that 'no one was ever raped by a book' The bottom line, as the judge in the New York court ruling on 'I tell you no lie . . .' (which in Ireland means the opposite) ence, or our awareness of it, is a civilisation in dire straits. known since the first palaeolithic caveman said 'I'll tell you a To suggest otherwise is not only to underestimate ordinary In all these forms, the gap separating real life from simulife. And the first civilisation to erode that differbetween lived and

The question of mimesis becomes far more vexed, of course, when it comes to historical narratives. But here too, the hiatus between the historical recounting of the past (historia rerum gestarum) and the historical past itself (res gestae) has almost

example, to recognise a difference in our attitudes when read cated, but it still sustains a belief in the real events (genomena less of how varied and contested the interpretations of what their accounts refer to things that actually happened - regardwords, historical narratives, unlike fictional ones, hold that to be taken seriously, their accounts must be credible. In other minimal claim to tell the past as it truly was; if historians are not some basic veracity-claims involved. There is at least here a Historical narratives could not function as history if there were sion of disbelief' (as Coleridge put it) that operates in fiction we do not enjoy the same poetic licence or 'willing suspendifferent kind from that operating in fiction. In history-telling between reality and representation here is of a qualitatively reconstructed only through narrative imagination, the 'gap always been acknowledged. Even though the past can be it makes very different claims on the past from those made by Mermaid covered in fish-scales. Once a story is told as history between the truth-claims involved in the news story of the cite a more graphic example, it is vital to observe a distinction certain mixing of history and fiction, the former does so as fictional account in War and Peace. (Even though both involve a ing Michelet's historical account of Napoleon and Tolstoy's recounted by the historian. That is why it is so important, for happened may be. The reference can be multiple, split or trun Vietcong girl covered in napalm and the tale of 'imaginative history', the latter as 'historical novel'.) Or to

History and fiction, in sum, both refer to human action, but they do so on the basis of distinct referential claims. Where fiction discloses possible worlds of action, history seeks grosso modo to comply with the criteria of evidence common to the general body of science. Ricoeur describes

the different truth-claims involved in history and fiction thus:

In the conventional sense attached to the term 'truth' by the acquaintance with this body of science, only historical knowledge may enunciate its referential claim as a 'truth'-claim. But the very meaning of this truth-claim is itself measured by the limiting network which rules the conventional descriptions of the world. This is why fictional narratives may assert a referential claim of another kind, appropriate to the split reference of poetic discourse. This referential claim is nothing other than the claim to redescribe reality according to the symbolic structures of the fiction.

address the silences of history by giving a voice to the it already assumes certain techniques of 'telling' and 'retell voiceless. 'The meaning of human existence', as Ricoeur lar to the forgotten victims of history. History-telling seeks to would be unable to respect our debts of memory, in particunot the case, there would be no way of countering the hisalways at least in part figurative to the extent that it involves Even the presumption that the past can be told as it truly ing' that make it more than a reportage of empirical facts the world, but also the ability to be remembered and recolrightly observes, 'is not just the power to change or master torical distortions of Holocaust deniers or propagandists. We ment and perspective. But it does try to be truthful. Were this telling according to a certain selection, sequencing, emplot telling is never literal (pace positivists or fundamentalists). It is happened still contains the gap of the figural 'as'. Historytion of narrative truth and memory is something which we lected in narrative discourse.'12 But this controversial ques-This is not, of course, to deny that once history is narratec

shall revisit in section 4 on 'narrative wisdom' (phronesis) below.

The mimetic role of narrative, to conclude our present discussion, is never fully absent from history-telling even as it is fully present in fiction-telling. That is why I am arguing that we shall never reach the end of the story. We shall never arrive at a point, even in our most 'post' of postmodern cultures, where we could credibly declare a moritorium on storytelling. Even postmodern parodies of the narrative imagination like Calvino's If on a Winter's Night a Traveller or Beckett's Imagination odying. Think of the titles. Such parodies subvert old modes of telling with alternative ones. The serpent of storytelling may swallow its own tail, but it never disappears altogether.

THREE: RELEASE (CATHARSIS)

Next I want to look at the proposition that stories possess a specifically cathartic power. I mean by this, first, the idea that stories 'alter' us by transporting us to other times and places where we can experience things otherwise. This is the power to 'feel what wretches feel', as King Lear put it. To know what it is like to be in someone else's head, shoes or skin. The power, in short, of vicarious imagination.

Aristotle defined catharsis as 'purgation by pity and fear'. Let us begin with 'fear' (phobos). Aristotle believed that dramatised stories could offer us the freedom to behold all kinds of unpalatable and unliveable events, which by being narrated have some of the harm removed. 'Objects which in themselves we view with pain', he says, 'we delight to contemplate when reproduced with minute fidelity: such as the forms of the most ignoble beasts and of dead animals' (Poetics 1448b). We may, he suggests, experience a certain cathartic release

sufficient distance to grasp the meaning of it all. This dis-Why? Because the very contrivance and artifice of mimesis tators (anticipating the Kantian notion of 'disinterestedness') from the tragic sufferings of existence in our role of specwhen we learn the true meaning of the riddle of the Sphinx opened up between the literal and the figural by the art of detaches us from the action unfolding before us, affording us or in Hamlet when we register the Prince's discovery that the workings of fate. It is what we experience in Oedipus Rex tancing or 'fearful' aspect of catharsis comes from the gap there is a 'divinity that shapes our ends'. It is what Stephen 'imitated action'. It provokes a certain 'awe' (phobos) before see through things, however troubling or terrible, to their this with the detachment of Olympian deities, enabling us to tracks, throws us off kilter, deworlds us. The Greeks identified the secret cause of things'. Cathartic awe stops us in our catharsis in A Portrait of the Artist as a Young Man — 'knowledge of Daedalus calls – in his famous account of Aristotelian inner or ultimate meaning.

But that is only half the story. As well as being distanced, we need to be sufficiently involved in the action to feel that it matters. Catharsis, as noted, purges us by pity as well as fear. It comprises a double attitude of both empathy and detachment. By pity (eleos) the Greeks understood the ability to suffer with others (sym-pathein). The narrated action of a drama, for example, solicits a mode of sympathy more extensive and resonant than that experienced in ordinary life. And it does so not simply because it enjoys the poetic licence to suspend our normal protective reflexes (which guard us from pain) but also because it amplifies the range of those we might empathise with — reaching beyond family, friends and familiars to all kinds of foreigners. If we read Oedipus Rex, we

experience what it is like to be a Greek who murders his father and marries his mother. If we read Anna Karenina, we experience the tragic fate of a passionate woman in nineteenth-century Russia. If we read Scarlet and Black, we relive the life of an erratic, wilful youth in Napoleonic France. And if we read The Jaguar by Ted Hughes, we can even transport ourselves into the skin of a 'non-rational' animal. What is impossible in reality is made possible in fiction.

This power of empathy with living things other than ourselves – the stranger the better – is a major test not just of poetic imagination but of ethical sensitivity. And in this regard we might go so far as to say that genocides and atrocities presuppose a radical failure of narrative imagination. Jonathan Swift believed this, for instance, when he wrote A Modest Proposal with a view to securing understanding of the Irish Famine in his English readers. And one of J. M. Coetzee's characters, Elizabeth Costello, applies similar arguments to the Holocaust:

The particular horror of the camps, the horror that convinces us that what went on there was a crime against humanity, is not that despite a humanity shared with their victims, the killers treated them like lice. That is too abstract. The horror is that the killers refused to think themselves into the place of their victims, as did everyone else. They said, It is *they* in those cattle-cars rattling past. They did not say, 'How would it be if it were I in that cattle-car?' They did not say, It is I who am in that cattle-car. They said, 'It must be the dead who are being burnt today, making the air stink and falling in ash on my cabbages.' They did not say, 'I am burning, I am falling in ash.'

In other words, concludes Elizabeth Costello

another. . . . There are people who have the capacity to capacity but choose not to exercise it . . . there is no limit to have no such capacity, and there are people who have the imagine themselves as someone else, there are people who *sympathy*, that allows us to share at times the being ımagination.¹³ another. There are no bounds to the sympathetic the extent to which we can think ourselves into the being of they closed their hearts. The heart is the seat of a faculty,

If we possess narrative sympathy - enabling us to see the do not, we cannot love. world from the other's point of view - we cannot kill. If we

of other beings as if we were them. And it is precisely this seeing and being of our natural attitude to things and opens us to novel ways of as another and the other as oneself — that provokes a reversal double-take of difference and identity - experiencing oneself lar mix of pity and fear whereby we experience the suffering We might say, consequently, that catharsis affords a singu-

of Bill Beaushire, a 'disappeared' victim of the Chilean coup particularly powerful case, reported in The Good Listener, is that extended to Amnesty International and its multiple records of counsellor after the liberation. But Bamber's work also and to other listeners and readers – had extraordinary healing exceptionally 'good listener'. Bamber's ability to receive Helen Bamber, and a major reason for this is that she is an testimony to victims of torture throughout the world. One the narratives of Belsen, where she worked as therapist and results. I have already cited her work of witness in relation to repressed stories and return them to the speakers themselves – One especially moving example of the cathartic narrator is

> serve as an indispensable testament to an individual's othertortured'. The Beaushire dossier would, thanks to her witness. story 'connected to the world of those who had not been to memory', an acknowledgement of the need to have this Bamber 'was description, but it was also a way of paying heed eventual execution. The story of Beaushire transmitted by it'. This double duty of admission and cognition is the we must acknowledge the truth, as well as having knowledge of Chile's terror remarked, 'you never give up on your dead . . . him after he "disappeared". 14 As one of the survivors of wise forgotten fate, 'told in the many voices of those who saw including electrocution and repeated hangings, before his irremissible task of narrative remembrance against Allende, who suffered the most appalling treatment

spent years researching the film, travelling widely to glean photos, faded and gnawed at the edges, but providing proof first-hand testimonies and stitching together the events which documentary film called Voices from the Lake. The killing of over sequent slaughter of the village population by Turkish troops evening in the summer of 1915 a young Armenian mother be confiscated. Many years later he returned and retrieved the American diplomat, posted to Turkey at the time, which he pieces of evidence was a series of photographs taken by an unfolded in that fateful year. One of the most important has always been denied by the Turkish government. Hagopian was Michael Hagopian, who eighty years later completed a Kharpert in eastern Turkey. The child, who survived the sub hid her baby in a mulberry bush in the mountain village of here is that of a survivor of the Armenian massacre. One buried on his departure from the country for fear they would 1.5 million Armenians is called the 'silent genocide' since it A final example of cathartic testimony I would like to cite serve a therapeutic purpose. way that we can re-present things absent or forgotten. And matter of history or fiction, mimesis imitates action in such a imagination as powerfully as fictional ones. Whether it is a Srebnik demonstrate is that testimonies may serve sympathic this narrative function of making absent things present car

FOUR: WISDOM (PHRONESIS)

tion? And if so, how does this differ from the truth of history about the world from stories? Is there a truth proper to hehistoria, histoire) means both events and our narrated accounts of English, as in several other languages (for example Geschichte, this relates to the curious fact that the word 'history' in been arguing throughout this book, we might then ask how happened? Presuming that they do indeed differ, as I have but retaining a referential claim to the way things actually understood as events worked over by certain story structures And so we return to the vexed question: what can we know

> by an author'. 16 histoire in the Dictionnaire universel as both the 'narration of things these events. A fact underscored by the canonical definition of as they happened' and a 'fabulous but credible story made up

in the lake just west of Khapert. This reclaiming of buriec nonetheless of claims that over 10,000 bodies were deposited

example, the extra-terrestrials in the Alien series have organs as though they were real. As Aristotle put it, for narrative to fictional narratives - for example the fact that most stories are past to experience it (which in reality we weren't). And, by our representing history 'as if' we were actually there in the It acknowledges that there is always a certain fictionality to standing results from a certain overlapping of history and story. human actions. This particular kind of ations as well as the nascent universality of values aimed at by practical wisdom capable of respecting the singularity of situclosely to what Aristotle called phronesis - namely, a form of specific to narrativity in general and that this corresponds tives relate to each other, there is a kind of understanding narrated is 'true' in so far as it accords with the laws of that to the working of narrative; for the narrator makes a 'secondhuman voice. The question of literary belief is absolutely crucia. blance to historically life-like beings if they are to be recogmonsters in science-fiction narratives must bear some resem-1460a 26-7). Which is perhaps why even the most inhuman work what seems impossible must be made credible (Poetics recounted in the past tense and describe characters and events world. 'You believe it, while you are, as it were, inside. The ary world', and once we enter it we make believe that what is Space Odyssey carries a human name, Hal, and speaks with a mouths and tails, and even the AI cyber-machine in 2001: A the same token, it recognises a certain historical character to My basic view is that however historical and fictional narraor to command our interest. As already noted, for 'phronetic' under-

moment disbelief arises, the spell is broken; the magic, or rather art, has failed.'¹⁷

It is this curious criss-crossing of narrative functions which allows (a) for fiction to portray the 'essential' truths of life that Aristotle speaks of, and (b) for history to portray a credible sense of particularity. But while confirming this interweaving of fiction and history on the arc of narrative, I would equally insist on identifying their different locations on this arc — for example, the former clearly gravitates towards the pole of the 'imaginary', the latter towards that of the 'real'. And I would insist, moreover, that the great majority of readers, including young children, know how to make this primordial distinction. The story of the Frog-King is possible only, as Tolkien reminds us, because we know that frogs are not men and that princesses do not marry them in the real world of history!

There are devil's advocates galore, of course, when it comes to narrative truth. Let me briefly rehearse a number of them by way of clarifying my own position. I have already cited certain constructivists, like Schafer in psychotherapy or Hayden White in history, who espouse a position of pragmatic relativism. Narratives, on this account, are deemed pure linguistic functions with no reliable reference to any truth beyond themselves. They involve a self-referential play of signifiers, spliced together in an intra-textual web. 19 Espousing a postmodern position of Irony, White will admit that this view tends to erode

all belief in positive political actions. In its apprehension of the essential folly or absurdity of the human condition, it tends to engender belief in the 'madness' of civilisation itself

and to inspire a Mandarin-like disdain for those seeking to grasp the nature of social reality in either science or art.²⁰

White basically argues that because all narrated history is inevitably mediated by linguistic processes of emplotment, explication and ideology, we are somehow obliged to embrace an 'irreducible relativism of knowledge'. And tracing the evolution of the relativist-idealist philosophy of history – from Hegel, through Nietzsche, to Croce, Gentile and beyond – White concludes that historiography culminates today in a sophisticated version of the 'Ironic condition'. The best we can do is trade in historical truth for pragmatic 'effectiveness'. A historical account is right if it works.²¹

guistic relativism. The fact that we acknowledge the narrative significance of these events'. 22 We can, in short, readily accept of Representation, 'even if indirectly'. And in reply to White's claims to reality. algorithm or syllogism - without thereby succumbing to linprocess – whose results never reach the exactitude of an cance of . . . catastrophies that generate the search for a new apologist plea for a 'new voice' to bear witness to past crimes function of 'as if' in all fictional stories, and of 'as' that narrative is a world-making as well as a world-disclosing voice and not the use of a specific voice which constructs the Friedlander rightly retorts that 'it is the reality and the signifi must shine through', as Friedlander insists in Probing the Limits event deeply determines our ultimate interpretation. 'Reality histories, does not mean that we must abandon all referentia the body of ascertainable evidence pertaining to a historical In response to this radical indeterminism I would reply that

I would suggest, all things considered, that every narrative history be subject to both the external criteria of evidence and

The position of extreme postmodern irony is defly parodied by the novelist Julian Barnes in A History of the World in 10 and a Half Chapters. The following citations typify his subtly sardonic reasoning. 'History isn't what happened', he writes.

History is just what historians tell us. There was a pattern, a plan, a movement, expansion, the march of democracy; it is a tapestry, a flow of events, a complex narrative, connected, explicable. One good story leads to another. First it was kings and archbishops with some offstage divine tinkering, then it was the march of ideas and the movements of masses, then little local events which mean something bigger, but all the time it's connections, progress, meaning, this led to this, this happened because of this. And we, the readers of history, the sufferers from history, we scan the pattern for hopeful conclusions, for the way ahead. And we cling to history as a series of salon pictures, conversation pieces whose

participants we can easily reimagine back into life, when all the time it's more like a multi-media collage.

the internal criteria of linguistic/genre appropriateness (for

Barnes terminates his argumentum ad absurdum on this sobering note:

The history of the world? Just voices echoing in the dark; images that burn for a few centuries and then fade; stories, old stories that sometimes seem to overlap; strange links, impertinent connections... We think we know who we are, though we don't quite know why we are here, or how long we shall be forced to stay. And while we fret and writhe in bandaged uncertainty we fabulate. We make up a story to cover the facts we don't know or can't accept; we keep a few true facts and spin a new story round them. Our panic and our pain are only eased by soothing fabulation; we call it history.²³

is a distortion of the 'facts'. Both positions nonetheless deny relativists and positivists (though for opposite reasons): the ing from one to the other. The error is, curiously, shared by between empirical chronicles and fantastic stories narrative. The tendency to carve an unbridgeable certainty does not mean endorsing the arbitrariness of history of individual lives nor indeed that of collective events implication of narrative in the practice of historical reporting torical past are rhetorical; while the positivists hold that any relativists claim that the only criteria for interpreting the hisbelieve, an error; for in doing so we forfeit any way of crosshere that to admit we cannot narrate the past with absolute fabulation? Surely not. And that is why I have been arguing horror of Auschwitz or Screbernice is a mere excercise in Would we be happy to accept, for instance, that retelling the But fabulations are not enough. Not when it comes to the gulf every 1S, 1

the links between narrative and real life, and both are, I believe, untenable.

It is oddly telling that these two arguments have been used by negationists in the death-camps controversy. While some Holocaust deniers argue that the history of the gas chambers is just 'one narrative amongst others', enforced as 'official history' by the Allies, others, including Irving and Faurisson, base their denials on the conviction that there are insufficient 'objective facts' to prove it. The latter do not see themselves as relativisitic irrationalists — as Deborah Lipstadt and others charge — but as the very opposite: uncompromising rationalists compelled to dismiss the history of the Holocaust as a 'myth' with no basis in fact!²⁴ Far from dismissing science, these revisionists claim that the problem with Holocaust evidence is that it is not scientific enough! Such evidence cannot, they insist, be unequivocally verified as empirical history.

To counter negationism effectively, I believe that the Holocaust needs to told as both history and story. Dogmatic appeals to 'pure facts' are not sufficient when it comes to historical testimony, whether such appeals come from positivists or revisionists. The best way of respecting historical memory against revisionism is, I repeat, to combine the most effective forms of narrative witness with the most objective forms of archival, forensic and empirical evidence. For truth is not the sole prerogative of the so-called exact sciences. There is also a truth, with its corresponding understanding, that we may properly call 'narrative'. We need both.

This whole question of testimonial truth has, I would argue, been dramatically highlighted by recent tribunals on the Holocaust controversy. I believe that Judge Charles Gray was absolutely correct, for example, in his High Court ruling

seem that we would have no justification at all for distinguish some referential claim to 'reality', however indirect, it would greater or lesser degree by storytelling that it is condemned to ing between history and fiction. 25 As Julian Barnes writes, in irreducible which, willy-nilly, we 'still call reality'. Withou narrative and at the same time affirm that there is something can acknowledge that history is invariably mediated through French historian Pierre Vidal-Nacquet, when he says that we untruth. This is why I fully endorse here the view of the criterion of 'fact'. It is not because history is informed to a tion. But not by appealing solely to some absolute scientific the [depths] humanity reached'. Irving and his revisionis historical evidence and sought to 'obliterate from memory in London (April 2000) that David Irving was not a history'. And it must be possible to state this without reserva allies do seek to 'whitewash the most heinous crime in humar 'historian' but someone who 'misrepresented and distorted to his own parody of historical relativism cited

We all know objective truth is not obtainable... but we must still believe that objective truth is obtainable; or we must believe that it is 99 per cent obtainable; or if we can't believe this we must believe that 43 per cent objective truth is better than 41 per cent. We must do so because if we don't we're lost, we fall into beguiling relativity, we value one liar's version as much as another liar's, we throw up our hands at the puzzle of it all, we admit that the victor has the right not just to the spoils but also to the truth.

(p. 244)

Let me conclude by stating that what narrative promises those of us concerned with historical truth is a form of

vital, as eating. who speaks for those forbidden to tell their story: in fiction. I leave the last word on the matter to Primo Levi recognise that its function here is different from its function point is not to deny the role of storytelling in history but to A Winter's Tale when he spoke of 'an art lawful as eating'. The experience. It is, perhaps, what Shakespeare was hinting at in architect's ruler, it is approximative but committed to lived you prefer, to a human science than to an exact one. 16 Like the The need to tell our story to 'the rest', to make 'the rest

In such cases, storytelling is indeed an art as lawful, and after, the character of an immediate and violent impulse, to participate in it, had taken on for us, before our liberation and the point of competition with our other elementary needs.²⁷

FIVE: ETHICS (ETHOS)

something (a real or imaginary world) course. Every act of storytelling involves someone (a teller) world with others in that they are invariably a mode of distelling something (a story) to someone (a listener) about that stories make possible the ethical sharing of a common of storytelling. The most basic point to recall here is, I think I shall end this book with some reflections on the ethical role

tic idealists and existentialists often overstress the intentional of these roles, sometimes to the point of exclusivity. Romanrole of the Different approaches to narrative emphasise one or other 'teller', structuralists the linguistic workings of

> coordinates of the narrative process in balance. is that of a critical hermeneutics which holds all four the 'world'. But the most judicious approach, I would argue, the 'story' itself, post-structuralists the receptive role of the reader', and materialists and realists the referential role of

contrast to the mere chronicling of facts or the pure abstrac something in between. It is what Aristotle called phronesis, in understanding which is neither absolute nor relative,

tion of scientific theoria. It is closer to art than science; or, if

relating it back to a life-world of action and suffering) the story) and a narrative interpreter (receiving the story and a narrator (telling the story), narrated characters (acting in when we engage with a story we are simultaneously aware of ing as it does to the agent as author, actor and reader. So that indispensable role of human agency. This role is multiple, relataction to text and back again encourages us to recognise the returns. The acknowledgement of a two-way passage from from which the text derives and to which it ultimately workings of textual play, but also the referential world of action This allows us to recognise not only the highly complex

of acting and committing ourselves to others. furnishes each of us with a sense of being a 'subject' capable we tell our life-story to ourselves and to others. This telling tion 'who?' – usually addressed to us by another – in so far as you? In other words, our life becomes an answer to the quesunity of a life', ultimately derives from the question: Who are This sense of selfhood, which MacIntyre calls the 'narrative sors — if it is to be capable of making and keeping promises munal history of predecessors, contemporaries and succes all, have some sense of self-identity which perdures over kind of moral responsibility. 28 Every moral agent must, after us with a particular experience of selfhood indispensable to any lifetime of past, present and future — as well as over a com longer possess that sense of narrative identity which provides Without this interplay of agency I believe that we would no

Now, it is this very claim to narrative selfhood which an

ario of political paralysis I reply that storytelling is intrinsically question: what is to be done? goes unanswered. Against this scenoveremphasising of textual indeterminacy and anonymity telling', do not consider the full consequences of their claims. trary, suggesting that we are assisting at the 'end of storyinteractive; and that apocalyptic pronouncements to the contaking action to change the world is jeopardised. 29 And the old ation of 'the experiencing, acting subject' the very idea of challenges. But the stakes are high. With the proposed obliter-

selves to recite and receive stories. And these narrative selves an ipse-self of process and promise, in contrast to a fixed tity of this 'who' is a narrative one. This is what Ricoeur calls a proper name, and sustained by the conviction that it is the subject. A far more appropriate strategy, I suggest, is to be will always be capable of ethically responsible action intergalactic our world becomes, there will always be human idem-self, which responds only to the question 'what?'. 30 In tells about the action of the 'who' in question: and the idenbetween birth and death. The story told by a self about itself same subject who perdures through its diverse acts and words rative identity of a person, presupposed by the designation of ego. Apologetics is no answer. It is foolhardy to deny the significant notion of the ethical-political subject. The best anti-humanist suspicions of subjectivity while preserving a furnish an alternative model of self-identity. Namely, the narfound in a philosophical model of narrative which seeks to legitimacy of many postmodern critiques of the essentialist foundationalist notion of the person as substance, cogito or response to this crisis of self is not, I believe, to revive some A model of narrative selfhood can, I propose, respond to . I would wager that no matter how cyber, digital or

> believe, disprove the ethical legitimacy of continuing to tell tion, especially in the Holocaust context, he does not, I paradoxically, be doing the Nazis' work for them. Thus while seeing Holocaust testimonies in an a-moral light might then, allying it with disunity instead of community'. 32 To insist on argument, it seems to me, when he concedes that the witthe story in spite of all. Nor, I suspect, would he want to Langer duly reminds us of the limits and difficulties of narraconsequences of the Nazi strategy to fragment identity by ness's 'diminished self' is a symptom of the 'psychological themselves tried to achieve. He thus undermines his own self, which is exactly what, by his own account, the Nazis the survivors to the condition of a permanently 'disunited the Holocaust is that he risks, despite himself, condemning beyond judgment and evaluation'.31 But the problem with We are left, he surmises, 'with a series of personal histories effort at interpretation using traditional moral expectations in control of the consequences, the ensuing drama resists al Langer's refusal of a moral function to narrative memories of because this need to act issued from an agent 'who was never inability to do so that continue to haunt [them] today'. And survivors often bespeak shattered identities 'trying to come to reasoning, touched on earlier, is that the testimonies of these many Holocaust witnesses are split or 'diminished' selves terms with memories of the need to act and the simultaneous immune to the moral criteria of 'action and evaluation'. His against the ethical character of narratives is Langer's claim that The most convincing argument I have come across to date 153 **Narrative Matters**

to narrative as well as being subjects of narrative. We are made by actors) as well as something we do (as agents). We are subject Storytelling is, of course, something we participate in

able is also what we consider valuable. What is most worthy of merely informed by facts. History is always told with specific encompassed within the reality of history.'33 Moreover, it is inherit along with our language, ancestry and genetic code stories heard and told. As storytellers and story-followers we is what makes each human existence a fabric stitched from stories before we ever get around to making our own. Which ation rather than doctrinal sermons or abstract treatises the social struggles of the past'. 34 It is with just such an interruled the individual actions, the life of the institutions, and being preserved in memory is precisely those 'values which tially ethical in that what we consider communicable and memorthe 'interest' in communication. This interestedness is essenfollowers that we are interested by stories – in addition to being because of our belonging to history as storytellers and story-The historicity proper to story-telling and history-writing is are born into a certain intersubjective historicity which we has recently argued for a society inspired by narrative imagininterests' in mind, as Habermas observes, the first of which is We belong-to history before telling stories or writing histories intersubjective sympathy in mind that Richard Rorty

In a moral world based on what Kundera calls the 'wisdom of the novel' moral comparisons and judgements would be made with the help of proper names rather than general terms or general principles. A society which took its moral vocabulary from novels rather than from ontico-theological or ontico-moral treatises would . . . ask itself what we can do so as to get along with each other, how we can arrange things so as to be comfortable with one another, how institutions can be changed so that everyone's right to be understood has a better chance of being gratified.³⁵

Indeed, Rorty goes so far as to suggest that narratives not only help to humanise aliens, strangers and scapegoats — as Harriet Beecher Stowe's Uncle Tom's Cabin did, for example, regarding white prejudices against blacks — but also to make each one of us into an 'agent of love' sensitive to the particular details of others' pain and humiliation.³⁶

empathy or antipathy towards the character's ethical quality. 37 action that does not involve some response of approval or and fortune in Poetics 1448a-1450b.) There is no narrated certain actions and their consequences. (This is what Aristotle tive carries its own weightings regarding the moral worth of is an apologia for nineteenth-century capitalism? The fact that argue that Anne Frank is an anti-Semitic story? Or that Oliver Twist agent of justice and the latter as a force of destruction? Or to cent? Could we really enjoy the battle between Luke Skynot persuaded that Iago was devious and Desdemona innocould we truly appreciate the tragic tale of Othello if we were narrated and the actors featured in the narration. After all unmerited misfortune, for example, would collapse if our very notion of cathartic pity and fear, linked as it is to disapproval relative to some scale of goodness or justice referred to as the emplotted relation between character, virtue its characters, and dramatises the moral relationship between the answers are obvious is indication enough that each narratake another tack on this question, would it make any sense to walker and Darth Vader if we did not see the former as ar narrative bears some evaluative charge regarding the events aesthetic responses were to from the various value options proposed by the narrative. The though it is always up to us readers to choose for ourselves Storytelling, we may conclude, then, is never neutral. Every Far from being ethically neutral, each story seeks to be totally divorced from any

of its actors and their actions. And regardless of whether we embrace these rhetorical and moral situations, we cannot prestory is loaded. And while it is true to say that a story is Stories alter our lives as we return from text to action. Every tend that they are not at work in the text's effect upon us persuade us one way or another about the evaluative character mind of its author alone (the romantic fallacy regarding the something to respond to. The story is not confined to the up to a point. Granted, we deploy our own ethical presupposineither good nor bad but thinking makes it so, this is so only tions each time we respond to a story, but we always have shows us that the untold life is not worth living. narrative is an open-ended invitation to ethical and poetic actor/addressee) whose outcome is never final. That is why narrated actors. The story exists in the interplay between all fined to the mind of its reader. Nor indeed to the action of its primacy of the author's original intentions). Nor is it conagents of our own lives, but narrators and readers as well. It responsiveness. Storytelling invites us to become not just these. Every story is a play of at least three persons (author/

There will always be someone there to say, 'tell me a story', and someone there to respond. Were this not so, we would no longer be fully human.

THE END

discernment in my more academically specialised sequel to this book entitled Strangers, Gods and Monsters (London and New York, Routledge, forthcoming 2002).

ELEVEN NARRATIVE MATTERS

- London and New York, Granta, 2000
- See Fredric Jameson, Postmodernism, or the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism London, Verso, 1991 and Paul Virilio, Open Sky, London, Verso, 1997.
- A. Robbe-Grillet, Snapshots and Towards a New Novel, cited by Christopher Nash, 'Literature's Onslaught on Narrative', in Narrative in Culture: The Uses of Storytelling in the Sciences, Philosophy and Literature, ed. Christopher Nash, London, Routledge, 1990, p. 203.
- 4 C. Vogler, The Writer's Journey: Mythic Structure for Writers, 2nd edn, Studio City, CA, Michael Wiese Publications, 1998. Bruno Bettelheim makes a similar point in his psychoanalytic account of storytelling. The Uses of Enchantment, London, Penguin, 1978; as does Joseph Campbell from the perspective of comparative mythology and depth psychology, in The Hero with a Thousand Faces, New York, Balantine Books, 1966.
- ed. R. Kearney and M. Rainwater, London, Routledge, 1996, p. 139f. See also 'Can Fictional Narratives be True?', where Ricoeur expands on Kant's analysis of the productive imagination. Ricoeur's major critique of Kant, which I endorse, is that by confining the narrative functions of synthesis and schematism to the inner sense of imagination, he ignores the essentially 'intersubjective' aspect of narrative. See my analysis of Heidegger's controversial reading of Kantian imagination in The Wake of Imagination, London and New York, Routledge, 1988. See also here E. Husserl's On the Phenomenology of the Consciousness of Internal Time (Dordrecht, Kluwer, 1990), M. Heidegger's Kant and the Problem of Metaphysics (Bloomington, IN, Indiana University Press, 1962), H.-G. Gadamer's Truth and Method (New York, Continuum, 1975) and David Carr's Time, Narrative and History (Bloomington, IN, Indiana University Press, 1986).
- 6 A. MacIntyre, After Virtue, Notre Dame, IN, Notre Dame University Press, 1981, p. 117. I am indebted here to the illuminating commentary by Guignon, 'Narrative Explanation in Psychotherapy', p. 569. A similar point is made by Charles Taylor when he argues that a basic

ingful unity (p. 51). But it is just this search for narrative unity and of a life-story which has sense or purpose, to take it up into a mean with that of narrative identity. In our search for some meaning for our and MacIntyre that the notion of moral identity is intimately linked a narrative', for in order to have a sense of who we are now 'we have to condition for 'making sense of ourselves is to grasp our life in terms of narratives, in content and manner of presentation, resists the organmonies, where he speaks of the Shoah as a wound from an absent and identity that Lawrence Langer so vehemently opposes in Holoaust Testi University Press, 1989, p. 47). Taylor agrees with thinkers like Ricoeur have a notion of how we have become and of where we are going izing impulse of moral theory and art (p. 204). heal or redeem in the present: 'The raw material of oral Holocaust inaccessible past which no amount of narrative remembering can ever life considered as a whole we want the future to make the past 'a part (Sources of the Self: The Making of Modern Identity, Cambridge, MA, Harvard

- See Guignon, 'Narrative Explanation in Psychotherapy', p. 559f. and P. Ricoeur, 'Life in Quest of Narrative', in On Paul Ricoeur: Narrative and Interpretation, ed. D. Wood, London, Routledge, 1991.
- See Ricoeur: 'Between living and recounting, a gap however small it may be is opened up. Life is lived, history is recounted' (The Continental Philosophy Reader, ed. Kearney and Rainwater, p. 141). See also Ricoeur, 'Life in Quest of Narrative', p. 31:

If it is true that fiction is only completed in life and that life can be understood only through the stories that we tell about it, then an examined life, in the sense of the word as we have borrowed it from Socrates, is a life recounted.

9 The recounted life entails both poetics and ethics, both freedom of imagination and responsibility to the real. But this complementarity of narrative poetics and ethics is not a matter of identity; it is by guarding over each other's distinctness that poetics and ethics best serve each other's mutual interests. While a poetics of narrative reminds us that the real is reconstructed, an ethics of narrative reminds us that it is given. But a poetics of mimesis can also serve an ethics of the real by recalling the reference of all narrative to (1) the life-world of the author it originally prefigures, before it configures it as an emplotted

12

text, and (2) the life-world of the reader which it refigures as it returns from the text to the world of action. This proves the extremism of Roland Barthes's maxim that 'le fait n'a jamais qu'une existence linguistique'.

- ators on research into future narrative forms and timelines for general audiences at the Media Lab, MIT, Cambridge, Massachusetts. In particular, G. Davenport, 'Your own Virtual Storyworld', Scientific American, November 2000, pp. 79–82; G. Davenport, B. Barry et al., 'Synergistic Storyscapes and Constructionist Cinematic Sharing', IBM Systems Journal, vol. 39, nos 3–4, 2000, pp. 456–69; G. Davenport and M. Murtaugh, 'Automatist Storyteller Systems and the Shifting Sands of Story', IBM Systems Journal, 1997.
- A.-T. Tymienecka, Dordrecht, Reidel, vol. 14, 1983, p. 11. Ricoeur adds this intriguing query: 'And the question, then, is whether in another sense of the word true and truth, history and fiction may be said to be equally "true", although in ways as different as their referential claims are themselves different.' See here the fascinating articles by D. McCloskey, B. Jackson, J. Bernstein, R. Harré and G. Myers in the section entitled 'Narrative and Fact', in Narrative in Culture: The Uses of Storytelling in the Sciences, Philosophy and Literature, ed. C. Nash, London and New York, Routledge, 1990. For us to address properly the muchneglected role played by narrative in science would require a separate book in its own right. But I do not underestimate its crucial importance.
- See the interview with Paul Ricoeur, 'The Creativity of Language' in my States of Mind: Dialogues with Contemporary Thinkers, Edinburgh, Edinburgh University Press, and New York, New York University Press, 1997, p. 218. See also Ricoeur, 'Can Fictional Narratives be True?', where he offers a very useful summary of the tension between the 'referential' and 'fictional' claims of storytelling, pp. 5–6:

A full recognition of the referential dimension of fictional narratives will be made more plausible if the fictional component of history writing has also been previously acknowledged. . . . It is not foreign to the general trend of 'imaginative reconstruction' in the writing of history. This expression comes from Collingwood, even though he

emerged a general concept of the 'fictive' representation of 'reality' of History, tends to enlarge the gulf between what actually happened and presented for example by Raymond Aron in The German Critical Philosophy completed whole, into a story. telling, Poetics. . . . The historian, according to his point of view, does which history has in common with other literary expressions of story well as to fiction. Hayden White calls . . . the explanatory procedures critique of the visual arts in Art and Illusion and Erich Auerbach's great especially when taken in conjunction with such works as Gombrich's Kenneth Burke's A Grammar of Motives has been overwhelmingly decisive this regard, the influence of Northrop Frye's The Anatomy of Criticism and the categories of what may be called semiotics, symbolics, or poetics. In as literary artifact that history writing has begun to be reassessed along from the theory of narratives in literary criticism to history considered what we historically know, it is mainly by means of a kind of transfer while the whole neo-Kantian school of the philosophy of history, as insisted on the task of 'reenactment' in historical knowledge. Thus, not merely tell a story. He makes an entire set of events, considered as a whose scope is broad enough to be applied also to history writing as Mimesis: The Representation of Reality in Western Literature. From these works

Ricoeur offers the following response to the enigma of storytell ing's dual role as (a) fictional invention and (b) representation of reality:

As fictive as the historical text may be, its claim is to be a representation of reality. And its way of asserting this claim is to support it by the verificationist procedures proper to history as a science. In other words, history is both a literary artifact and a representation of reality. It is a literary artifact to the extent that, like all literary texts, it tends to assume the status of a self-contained system of symbols. It is a representation of reality to the extent that the world that it depicts—which is the 'work's world'—is assumed to stand for some actual occurrences in the 'real' world.

(p. 7)

J. M. Coetzee, The Lives of Animals, Princeton, NJ, Princeton University Press, 1999, pp. 34–5. Julian Barnes makes a similar point (A History of the World in 10 and a Half Chapters, New York, Vintage):

13

tyrants who have been great lovers. * (you can get away with it, but that's not what I mean). Show me the a good lover, a good artist or a good politician without this capacity beginning to see the world from another point of view. You can't be You can't love someone without imaginative sympathy, without

(p. 241)

The Good Listener, London, Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 1998, p. 228.

15

This call to recognize and remember through narration is, of course verses of Scripture including Sirach 44: 9-13: Hebrew summons 'Remember!' (Zakhor!) It is invoked in countless equally central to the whole biblical tradition, summed up in the

though they had never been born, they and their children after them. perished as though they had never existed; they have become as declare their praise. But of others there is no memory; they have generations. Some of them have left behind a name, so that others Let us now sing the praises of famous men, our ancestors in their

More specifically, the Christian religion is explicitly based on narrative testimony – see Luke 1:1-4:

to us, I too have decided, after investigating everything accurately anew, have been fulfilled among us, just as those who were eyewitnesses Theophilus, so that you may realize the certainty of the teachings you to write it down in an orderly sequence for you, most excellent from the beginning and ministers of the word have handed them down Since many have undertaken to compile a narrative of the events that

16 Other formative dictionary definitions of the marvellously ambiguous reconstruction du passé de l'humanité sous son aspect général ou l'exactitude sont l'âme de l'histoire' (Dictionnaire français, by P. Richelet continuée de choses vraies, grandes and publiques, écrite avec esprit, sous des aspects particuliers, selon le lieu, l'époque, le point de vue (Dictionnaire de L'Académie Française, 1694); (c) 'Recherche, connaissance 1680); (b) 'Narration des actions et des choses dignes de mémoire and des Princes and pour le bien de la société civile. La vérité et avec éloquence et avec jugement pour l'instruction des particuliers French term histoire include the following: (a) 'C'est une narration

> Goff, History and Memory, New York, Columbia University Press, 1992. other definitions and descriptions of the double-sidedness of history, ment, "vus") ou inventés'. For a fasinating discussion of these and de "récit" fondé sur l'établissement de faits observés (étymologique an interesting analysis of the role of memory in history see Jacques Le see Face à l'histoire, Petit Journal du Centre Beaubourg, Paris, 1997. For langue française), 'Histoire . . . contient depuis la latinité (historia) l'idée avenir . . . Evolution concernant une personne ou une chose' (Trésor de choisi . . . Evolution de l'humanité à travers son passé, son présent, son

- Tolkien, 'On Fairy-Stories', p. 60.
- -8 especially pp. 110-22, 153f. in his very useful and insightful book, Time, Narrative and History Routledge, 1991, pp. 160-87). David Carr develops these arguments and Charles Taylor on this subject, 'Discussion: Ricoeur on Narrative' vol. 3, especially the chapter on 'The Interweaving of Fiction and Paul Ricoeur argues that a poetics of historical imagination requires a History'; see also the critical exchanges between Ricoeur, David Can ontological 'form of life' covering our use of narrative language; see claims of fictional and historical narratives in the light of a specific special 'hermeneutics of historicity' to assess the respective referential 'Can Fictional Narrtives be True?', pp. 11–17; also Time and Narrative On Paul Ricoeur: Narrative and Interpretation, ed. D. Wood, London,
- 19 See C. Guignon's critical review of this extreme position in 'Narrative Explanation in Psychotherapy', pp. 562-661
- 20 H. White, Metahistory, Baltimore, The Johns Hopkins University Press
- 21 without Correspondence to Reality', Philosophy and Social Hope, London Ibid., p. 42. See also White's more moderate but still ultimately Penguin, 1999. pragmatist approach to historical truth see Richard Rorty, 'Truth Press, 1992, pp. 37-53. For a more ethically persuasive version of the tion: Nazism and the 'Final Solution', Cambridge, MA, Harvard University relativist-constructivist position in 'Historical Emplotment and the Problem of Truth', in S. Friedlander (ed.), Probing the Limits of Representa-
- S. Friedlander, introduction to Probing the Limits of Representation, pp. 7,10 I am also indebted here to the discussion of this theme in two other articles in this edited volume, namely, Perry Anderson, 'On

22

pertinent comments, p. 79: Emplotment', pp. 54-65; and Amos Funkenstein, 'History, Counterhistory, and Narrative', pp. 66–81. See in particular Funkenstein's

case without universal criteria. Everything in a narrative — factual nor proven by a waterproof algorithm. It must be decided from case to is not arbitrary. If the narrative is true, reality, whatever its definition signs. Every narrative is, in its way, an exercise in 'worldmaking'. But it what makes one story more 'real' than another? . . . what distinguishes content, form, images, language - may serve as indicators. must shine through. . . . Closeness to reality can be neither measured representation we mean a corresponding system of things and their historiographical endeavour may presume to 'represent' reality - if by a legitimate revision from a revisionist confabulation? . . . No

- 23 Barnes, A History of the World in 10 and a Half Chapters, p. 240.
- 25 24 Deborah Lipstadt, 'Canaries in the Mine: Holocaust Denial and the and Memory, New York, Free Press, 1993. Limited Power of Reason', Denying the Holocaust: The Growing Assault on Truth
- Cited in Friedlander, Probing the Limits of Representation, p. 20.
- 26 and New York, Fordham Press, 1998, pp. 241-57 and 'Narrative Imagination — The Ethical Challenge', Poetics of Imagining See P. Ricoeur, 'Life in Quest of Narrative', pp. 22-3. See also my own Modern to Postmodern, new edn, Edinburgh, Edinburgh University Press Hermeneutic Imagination, Atlantic Heights, NJ, Humanities Press, 1997, related studies, 'The Narrative Imagination', Poetics of Modernity: Toward a
- 27 Primo Levi, Survival in Auschwitz, New York, Simon and Schuster, 1993,
- 28 See Michael Bell, 'How Primordial is Narrative?', in Nash, Narrative in

an existential given. In sum, then, narrative meaning exists dialectically it cannot of itself either create, or compel acceptance of, that form of in the tension between its world and the world of the reader. life. In its fundamental terms it has to appeal to the reader's consent as Narrative can embody, and thus objectify or vindicate, a form of life but

See also Ricoeur, 'Can Fictional Narrative be True?', p. 13:

Storytelling displays its imaginative skill at the level of a human

life. In this respect, autobiographies, memoirs, and confessions are experience which is already 'communalized'. Plots, characters, only subsections of a narrative arc which as a whole describes and thematic elements, etc. are forms of a life which is really a common redescribes human action in terms of interactions

29 See Christopher Nash, 'Slaughtering the Subject: Literature's Assault on the Subject', in Narrative in Culture, p. 216:

feel ourselves to be in disagreement with someone else, or hold anyone as simply a manifestation of impersonal collective forces, we can't hope discrete events and intentions - or with any description of the subject With any consistent obliteration . . . of discrete persons as agents of responsible for his or her acts. either to account intelligibly for change, explain to ourselves how we

Study of European Civilisation at the University of Bergen, 1996, pp. in The Modern Subject, ed. D. M. Christensen and S. Meyer, Centre for the incomprehensible'. See also my essay, 'Ethics and the Narrative self' And as a result, 'social interaction and political action become

- 30 See Paul Ricoeur, Time and Narrative, vol. 3, Chicago, University of Press, 1992. For a lucid commentary on this ipse/idem distinction see Chicago Press, 1988; Oneself as Another, Chicago, Chicago University Bernard Dauenhauer, Paul Ricoeur: The Promise and Risk of Politics, New York
- 31 ticular the essays by Berel Lang, 'Evil Inside and Outside History: The to this debate in Evil After Postmodernism: Histories, Narratives, Ethics, ed Langer, Holocaust Testimonies, p. 183. See also the insightful contributions Evil', and my own 'Others and Aliens: Between Good and Evil' Post-Holocaust vs. the Postmodern' and Roger Shattuck, 'Narrating Jennifer Geddes, London and New York, Routledge, 2001: in parand Oxford, Brown and Littlefield, 1998, pp. 110f., 120-2.
- 33 32 attempts to defend and promote narrative. his work serves as such an indispensable limit-case for my own cathartic, compensatory or redemptive functions of storytelling - that and judgement in the Holocaust testimonies - repudiating the Langer, Holocaust Testimonies, p. 182. It is, however, because Langer so vigorously underscores all the obstacles to normal ethical narrative
- 'Can Fictional Narratives be True?', p. 14. Ricoeur

acknowledges his debt here not only to Heidegger's analysis of historicity in Being and Time but to Hans-Georg Gadamer's notion of Wirkungsgeschichte or 'effective history' in Truth and Method. See, for example, Gadamer's claim that 'a proper hermeneutics would have to demonstrate the effectivity of history within understanding itself' (Truth and Method, London, Sheed and Ward, 1973, p. 267).

- 34 Ricoeur, 'Can Fictional Narratives be True?', pp. 15-16.
 35 Richard Rorty, 'Philosophers, Novelists and Interc
- Richard Rorty, 'Philosophers, Novelists and Intercultural Companions', Cultural Otherness, ed. A. Niyogi Balslev, Atlanta, Scholars Press, 1991, p. 118. I am grateful to Mark Dooley for bringing these citations to my attention.
- Rorty, 'On Ethnocentrism', Objectivity, Relativism and Truth (Cambridge Cambridge University Press, 1991).
- Ricoeur, Time and Narrative, vol. 1, p. 59. As Ricoeur notes, the strategy of persuasion undertaken by the narrator

37

36

is aimed at giving the reader a vision of the world that is never ethically neutral, but that rather implicitly or explicitly induces a new evaluation of the world and of the reader as well. In this sense, narrative already belongs to the ethical field in virtue of its claim – inseparable from its narration – to ethical justice. Still, it belongs to the reader, now an agent, an initiator of action, to choose among the multiple proposals of ethical justice brought forth by the reading

(Time and Narrative, vol. 3, 1986, p. 249)

Even when stories set out to overturn the inherited ethical system of establishment value, they do so, almost invariably, from an opposing or alternative set of evaluations. 'Poetics does not stop borrowing from ethics, even when it advocates the suspension of all ethical judgment or its ironic inversion. The very project of ethical neutrality presupposes the original ethical quality of action' (Time and Narrative, vol. 1, p. 59)